This article was downloaded by: On: 30 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



# International Journal of Polymeric Materials

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713647664>

# Interacting Blends of Novel Acrylated Poly(Ester Amide)s Based on DGEBC with Styrene Monomer

Hasmukh S. Patel<sup>a</sup>; Bhavdeep K. Patel<sup>a</sup> a Department of Chemistry, Sardar Patel University, Vallabh Vidyanagar, Gujarat, India

To cite this Article Patel, Hasmukh S. and Patel, Bhavdeep K.(2009) 'Interacting Blends of Novel Acrylated Poly(Ester Amide)s Based on DGEBC with Styrene Monomer', International Journal of Polymeric Materials, 58: 12, 654 — 664 To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00914030903146720 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00914030903146720>

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.<br>distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



# Interacting Blends of Novel Acrylated Poly(Ester Amide)s Based on DGEBC with Styrene Monomer

Hasmukh S. Patel and Bhavdeep K. Patel

Department of Chemistry, Sardar Patel University, Vallabh Vidyanagar, Gujarat, India

Bisphthalamic acids were prepared by reaction of phthalic anhydride and aromatic diamines. Novel poly(ester amide) resins (PEAs) were prepared by reaction between diglycidyl ether of bisphenol-C with bisphthalamic acids using a base catalyst. Post reactions of all these PEAs were carried out with acryloyl chloride. The resultant products are designated as acrylated poly(ester amide) resins (APEAs). The PEAs and APEAs were characterized by elemental analysis and number average molecular weight determined by nonaqueous conductometric titration method. IR spectra of PEAs and APEAs were also recorded. Blending of these APEAs with styrene monomer were carried out. The curing of these APEAs-styrene blends was monitored on a differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) by using benzoyl peroxide as a catalyst. Based on DSC data, glass fiber-reinforced composites of APEAs-styrene blends have been fabricated and their chemical, mechanical and electrical properties have been evaluated. Unreinforced cured samples of APEAs-styrene blends were analyzed thermogravimetrically.

Keywords: differential scanning calorimeter (DSC), epoxy resin (DGEBC), interacting blends, number average molecular weight  $(\overline{Mn})$ , polyamide, polyester, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)

# INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that epoxy resins, polyesters and polyamides are independent polymer candidates for a wide range of industrial applications such as composites, adhesive, anticorrosive coatings and others

Received 2 May 2009; in final form 25 May 2009.

The authors are thankful to the Head of the Chemistry Department, for providing research facilities. Bhavdeep K. Patel is thankful to UGC-CEAP for providing a research fellowship.

Address correspondence to Hasmukh S. Patel, Department of Chemistry, Sardar Patel University, Vallabh Vidyanagar-388120, Gujarat, India. E-mail: drhspatel786@ yahoo.com

[1–5]. Merging of these three epoxy, ester and amide segments into one polymer chain may yield a polymer with better properties than the individual ones.

Hence, recently, work in this direction has been carried out in our laboratory [6,7]. In continuation of this work, the interacting blends of poly(ester amide)s with vinyl monomers has also been reported from our laboratory [8]. The present article comprises the study of APEAs-styrene blends.

## EXPERIMENTAL

#### Materials

The diamines used for bisphthalamic acids were:

(1a) 1,4-phenylene diamine, (1b) 4,4'-diamno diphenyl methane,

 $(1c)$  4,4'-diamino diphenyl sulfone.

E-type of glass-woven fabric (poly (ester amide) compatible) 0.25 mm thick (Unnati Chemicals, India) of a real weight  $270$  g·m<sup>-2</sup> was used for composite fabrication. All other chemicals used were of pure grade.

#### Synthesis of Bisphenol-C

This was prepared according to the method described in the literature [9]. The general procedure is as follows:

Cyclohexanone  $(49 \text{ gm}, 0.5 \text{ mol})$  was treated with phenol  $(94 \text{ g},$ 1 mol) in the presence of a mixture of hydrochloric acid and acetic acid  $(150 \text{ ml } 2:1 \text{ vol.})$  at  $40^{\circ}$ C for 5 h. and kept overnight at room temperature. A pink-colored product was obtained which was separated out by dissolving it in 2 M NaOH solution. The mixture was then filtered to remove gummy product and then acidified to yield yellowish product. The product was washed several times with benzene to remove any other impurities. Recrystallization from methanol using charcoal gave a fine white product. The synthesis step for bisphenol-C is scanned in Scheme 1.

### Synthesis of Diglycidyl Ether of Bisphenol-C (DGEBC)

Bisphenol-C (1 mol) was dissolved in a mixture of epichlorohydrin (10 mol) and water in a three-necked flask. A reflux condenser was then attached to the flask and the mixture was heated until the epichlorohydrin began to reflux. Heating was stopped and 2 mol of NaOH



Diglycidyl ether of bisphenol-C (DGEBC)

SCHEME 1 Synthesis of DGEBC.

pellets were added in portions of two pellets at a time down the condenser. After the addition of each portion, the content of the flask was mixed by careful shaking and then carefully warmed again. After the addition of a few portions of the sodium hydroxide an exothermic reaction began. This was allowed to subside before further portions of sodium hydroxide were added. A bowl of cold water was made available so that the exothermic reaction may be moderated by cooling if necessary.

Then, after careful addition of further NaOH, the reaction mixture was heated for 45 min. The mixture was then vacuum-distilled in order to remove excess epichlorohydrin. Benzene was then added to give resin solution and to precipitate the sodium chloride formed during the reaction. The sodium chloride was filtered off. The filtered mixture was returned to a round-bottom flask and vacuum-distilled to recover benzene solvent. The synthesis step for diglycidyl ether of bisphenol-C (DGEBC) is shown in Scheme 1.



SCHEME 2 Synthesis steps for APEAs-styrene monomer blends (5a-c).

# Synthesis of Bisphthalamic Acids

The bisphthalamic acids were prepared by the method reported in the literature [10]. The general procedure is as follows:

To each well-stirred solution of phthalic anhydride (2.0 mol) in dry acetone, a separate solution of aromatic diamine (1a-c) (1.0 mol) in dry acetone was gradually added at room temperature within 30 min. After complete addition of the diamine solution, the reaction mixture was stirred for further half an hour at room temperature. The resulting bisphthalamic acids were then washed with dry acetone and air-dried. All the bisphthalamic acids (2a-c) were obtained in the form of freeflowing powder. The synthesis step is scanned in Scheme 2.

#### Synthesis of (PEAs) and (APEAs)

The bisphthalamic acids (2a-c) were then treated with epoxy resin (DGEBC). Epoxy resin (DGEBC) (1.0 mol) and bisphthalamic acids (2a-c) (1.0 mol) were charged in a three-necked flask equipped with a mechanical stirrer. To this, 8.0% of the total weight of above triethylamine (TEA) was added as a base catalyst. The reaction mixture was slowly heated to  $85^{\circ}$ C with continuous stirring. The reaction was continued till the acid value fell below  $60 \,\text{mg KOH/gm}$ . The resultant resins were then discharged and are designated poly(ester amide)s, PEAs (3a-c) and their details are furnished in Table 1. Further reaction of these PEAs was carried out with acryloyl chloride (i.e., acrylation) and the resultant products, called acrylated poly(ester amide)s and designated as APEAs (4a-c), are detailed in Table 2. The synthesis step is scanned in Scheme 2.

#### Synthesis of APEAs-Styrene Blends (5a-c)

When the acid value of APEAs resins (4a-c) fell below 60 mg  $KOH/gm$ , 0.05% of hydroquinone was added as an inhibitor. The whole reaction was stirred well for a few minutes while maintaining

|                | Elemental analysis $(wt\%)$ Calc./(Found)             | No. of $-OH$<br>group per | Number<br>average<br>molecular |        |                   |  |
|----------------|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|-------------------|--|
| <b>PEAs</b>    | % $C$   | % H                       | $\%$ N                         | $\%$ S | repeating<br>unit | weight<br>$(\overline{\rm Mn}) \pm 60$ |
| 3a             | 68.82 (68.72) 5.88 (5.78) 4.11 (4.03)                 |                           |                                |        | 1.92              | 4037                                   |
| 3 <sub>b</sub> | $71.68(71.61)$ $5.97(5.89)$ $3.63(3.57)$              |                           |                                |        | 1.94              | 4651                                   |
| 3 <sub>c</sub> | $65.85(65.77)$ $5.36(5.24)$ $3.41(3.33)$ $3.90(3.78)$ |                           |                                |        | 1.94              | 4864                                   |

TABLE 1 Characterization of PEAs (3a-c)

|                         |   | Elemental analysis (wt $\%$ ) Calc./(Found) | No. of double<br>bonds per | Number<br>average<br>molecular |                   |   |
|-------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|---|
| <b>APEAs</b><br>samples | $\%$ C  | % H   | $\%$ N                     | % S                            | repeating<br>unit | weight<br>$(\overline{\mathrm{Mn}}) \pm 60$ |
| 4a                      | $68.52(68.43)$ $5.58(5.47)$                           |   | 3.55(3.41)                 |                                | 1.93              | 4781  |
| 4 <sub>b</sub>          | 70.98 (70.89)   | 5.68(5.57)                                  | 3.18(3.09)                 |                                | 1.94              | 5314  |
| 4c                      | $65.94(65.83)$ $5.17(5.09)$ $3.01(2.92)$ $3.44(3.36)$ |   |                            |                                | 1.93              | 5515  |

TABLE 2 Characterization of APEAs (4a-c)

the temperature at  $85^{\circ}$ C. Then the temperature was lowered to  $80^{\circ}$ C and 50% of APEAs and 50% of styrene monomer were added. The reaction mixture was then continuously stirred at  $80^{\circ}$ C for one hour. It was then discharged. All the blends obtained were in the form of viscous syrup designated as APEAs-styrene blends (5a-c). The synthetic steps are shown in Scheme 2.

#### Composite Fabrication

The composites were prepared by using E-type glass fiber. The glass fiber: resin ratio is  $60:40$  (30% APEAs resin  $+10\%$  DGEBC). Suspensions of APEAs (4a-c) were prepared in tetrahydrofuran. To the suspension of the above polymer, 1% of ethylene dimethylacrylate (as a crosslinking agent) with 0.05% benzoyl peroxide (as an initiator) were added and mixed well. The mixture was applied with a brush to a  $200 \text{ mm} \times 200 \text{ mm}$  glass cloth and the solvent was allowed to evaporate. The ten dried prepregs prepared in this way were then stacked one on top of another and pressed between steel plates coated with a Teflon release sheet and compressed under 70 psi pressure. The prepreg stacks were cured by heating in an autoclave oven at  $220^{\circ}$ C for about 6 h. The composites so obtained were cooled to  $45-50^{\circ}$ C before the pressure was released. The composites were then machined to final dimensions.

# MEASUREMENTS

#### Elemental Analysis

The C, H, N and S content of all the PEAs (3a-c) and APEAs (4a-c) were estimated by means of Thermofinagan 1101 flash elemental analyzer (Italy). The number average molecular weight of all the PEAs (3a-c) and APEAs (4a-c) were estimated by nonaqueous conductometric titration following a method reported in an earlier

| APEAs-styrene  | Curing temperature $(^{\circ}C)$ |     |     |  |  |
|----------------|----------------------------------|-----|-----|--|--|
| blends         | Ti                               | Tр  | Tf  |  |  |
| 5a             | 107                              | 134 | 153 |  |  |
| 5 <sub>b</sub> | 111                              | 138 | 160 |  |  |
| 5c             | 114                              | 144 | 167 |  |  |

TABLE 3 DSC Curing of APEAs-Styrene Blends (5a-c)

communication [11]. The IR spectra were recorded in Kerr pellets on a Nicollet 760 D spectrometer for both PEAs (3a-c) and APEAs (4a-c). The number of hydroxyl groups present per repeating unit in PEAs (3a-c) was analyzed by employing the acetylating method [12]. Also, APEAs (4a-c) were characterized for the presence of double bonds per repeating unit employing mercury-catalyzed bromate-bromide method [13]. All the results for the number of –OH group for PEAs (3a-c) and presence of double bonds for APEAs (4a-c) were found to be consistent with the predicted structures and the results are furnished in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

# Curing

Curing of all the APEAs-styrene blends (5a-c) were carried out on a differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) by using benzoyl peroxide as a catalyst. A Du Pont 900 DSC was used for this study. The instrument was calibrated using standard indium metal with known heat of fusion ( $\Delta H = 28.45 \text{ J/g}$ ). Curing was carried out from 30–300°C at  $10^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup> heating rate. The sample weight used for this investigation was in the range of 4–5 mg along with an empty reference cell. The results are furnished in Table 3.

Unreinforced cured samples of APEAs-styrene blends (5a-c) were subjected to thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) on Du-Pont 950 thermogravimetric analyzer in air at a heating rate of  $10^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup>.

TABLE 4 TGA of Unreinforced Cured Samples of APEAs-Styrene Blends (5a-c)

| APEAs-styrene  | % Weight loss at various temps. $(°C)$ from TGA |                 |                 |                 |       |  |  |
|----------------|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|--|--|
| blends         | $150^\circ\mathrm{C}$                           | $300^{\circ}$ C | $450^{\circ}$ C | $600^{\circ}$ C | 750°C |  |  |
| 5a             | 1.82  | 9.81            | 61.09           | 76.52           | 80.25 |  |  |
| 5 <sub>b</sub> | 1.59  | 9.60            | 59.51           | 75.27           | 79.96 |  |  |
| 5c             | 1.40  | 9.56            | 56.79           | 71.08           | 78.63 |  |  |

The sample weight used for this investigation was in the range of 4–5 mg. The results are furnished in Table 4.

# CHARACTERIZATIONS OF COMPOSITE SAMPLES

All the chemical, mechanical and electrical tests on composites were conducted according to ASTM methods (as listed below) using three specimens for each test.

# Chemical Resistance Test

The resistances against chemicals of the composite samples were measured according to ASTM D 543. The chemicals used for the study were  $H_2SO_4 (25\% \text{v/v}), HCl (25\% \text{v/v}), NaOH (25\% \text{w/v}), ethanol, acet$ one, DMF and THF. The tests were performed by dipping the composite samples in 100 ml each of the reagents for 7 days at room temperature. After 7 days the specimens were taken out from the reagents and after drying they were examined for percentage changes in thickness and weight. The results are furnished in Table 5.

# Mechanical and Electrical Testing

- 1. The flexural strength was measured according to ASTM D 790.
- 2. The compressive strength was measured according to ASTM D 695.
- 3. The impact strength was measured according to ASTM D 256.
- 4. The Rockwell hardness was measured according to ASTM D 785.
- 5. The electrical strength was measured according to ASTM D 149.

The results are furnished in Table 6.

|               |           | $%$ Change in APEAs-styrene blends $(5a-c)$ |           |        |           |        |  |
|---------------|-----------|---|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|--|
|               | 5a        |   | 5b        |        | 5c        |        |  |
| Reagents      | Thickness | Weight                                      | Thickness | Weight | Thickness | Weight |  |
| $H_2SO_4$     | 1.08      | 1.80  | 1.10      | 1.81   | 1.13      | 1.84   |  |
| НCl           | 0.83      | 1.20  | 0.85      | 1.21   | 0.20      | 1.27   |  |
| NaOH          | 0.72      | 1.08  | 0.75      | 1.11   | 0.78      | 1.16   |  |
| Ethanol       | 0.21      | 0.31  | 0.23      | 0.34   | 0.26      | 0.38   |  |
| $\rm Acetone$ | 0.20      | 0.29  | 0.21      | 0.32   | 0.25      | 0.33   |  |
| DMF           | 1.09      | 1.84  | 0.16      | 1.89   | 0.15      | 1.91   |  |
| THF           | 0.52      | 0.73  | 0.57      | 0.78   | 0.61      | 0.80   |  |

TABLE 5 Chemical Resistance Properties of APEAs-Styrene Blends (5a-c)

| Composites of<br>APEAs-styrene<br>blends $(5a-c)$ | Flexural<br>strength<br>(MPa) | Impact<br>strength<br>(MPa) | Compressive<br>strength<br>(MPa) | Rockwell<br>hardness<br>(R) | Electrical<br>strength in<br>air (kV/mm) |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 5a  | 391                           | 409                         | 399                              | 83                          | 21.2                                     |
| 5 <sub>b</sub>                                    | 394                           | 411                         | 395                              | 83                          | 21.5                                     |
| 5c  | 398                           | 414                         | 391                              | 85                          | 21.7                                     |

TABLE 6 Mechanical and Electrical Properties of APEAs-Styrene Blends (5a-c)

All mechanical and electrical tests were performed using three specimens and their average results were considered.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Novel poly(ester amide)s (PEAs) (3a-c) was prepared by reaction of epoxy resin (DGEBC) with bisphthalamic acids using a base catalyst. Post reactions of all these PEAs were carried out with acryloyl chloride. The resultant products are designated as acrylated poly(ester amide)s (APEAs) (4a-c). The APEAs-styrene blends were prepared by the method described above.

The C, H, N and S content of all the PEAs (3a-c) and APEAs (4a-c) were estimated by means of Thermofinagan 1101 flash elemental analyzer (Italy). Their results are furnished in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. From the results we can say that values of C, H, N and S of each PEAs (3a-c) and APEAs (4a-c) were consistent with their predicted structures. The number average molecular weight of all the PEAs (3a-c) and APEAs (4a-c) were estimated by nonaqueous conductometric titration following by method [11]. Their results are furnished in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The IR spectra were recorded in Kerr pellets on a Nicollet 760 D spectrometer for both PEAs (3a-c) and APEAs (4a-c). The IR spectra of all PEAs (3a-c) and APEAs (4a-c) were consistent with their predicted structures.

Number of hydroxyl groups present per repeating unit in PEAs (3a-c) was determined by employing acetylating method [12]. Also, APEAs (4a-c) were characterized for the presence of double bonds per repeat unit, employing the mercury-catalyzed bromate-bromide method [13]. Satisfactory results were found and the results are furnished in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

Curing of all these APEAs-styrene blends (5a-c) was carried out on a differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) by using benzoyl peroxide as a catalyst. A Du Pont 900 DSC was used for this study. The data of DSC thermograms of all APEAs-styrene blends (5a-c) are furnished in Table 3.

The unreinforced cured samples of APEAs-styrene blends (5a-c) were also analyzed by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). The result reveals that the cured sample starts its degradation at about  $150^{\circ}$ C and the initial weight loss is about 2%. This small weight loss may be due to either insufficient curing of components used or due to the catalyst used. A weight loss of about  $10\%$  is found at  $300^{\circ}$ C. However, the rate of decomposition increases very rapidly between 300 to  $450^{\circ}$ C and up to 61% of the products are lost completely at  $750^{\circ}$ C. TGA data of all the cured samples are shown in Table 4.

The glass fiber-reinforced composites of all APEAs-styrene blends (5a-c) were prepared based on their DSC data. The composites were characterized for their chemical resistance test; the results are furnished in Table 5. The composites were also characterized for their mechanical and electrical tests. Their results are furnished in Table 6. The results show that the composites have good chemical resistance and good mechanical and electrical strengths.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

From the characterizations of APEAs-styrene blends the following conclusions have been made.

Rather than using novel APEAs the blends of APEAs-styrene are more advantageous. The results furnished in Tables 3 to 6 suggest that these blends give high curing temperature, slow degradation of product (i.e., low weight loss), good chemical resistance, good mechanical and good electrical strength. The results show that blends of APEAs-styrene monomer can be a competitor to commercial polyester resins.

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] Shukla, V. Pigment & Resin Techno. 35, 353 (2006).
- [2] Samanta, B. C., Maity, T., Dalai, S., and Banthia, A. K. Pigment & Resin Techno. 37, 3 (2008).
- [3] Ahmad, S., Ashraf, S. M., Hasant, A., Yadav, S., and Jamal, A. J. Appl. Poly. Sci. 82, 1855 (2001).
- [4] Thulasiraman, V., Rakesh, S., and Sarojadevi, M. Polymer Compos. 30, 49 (2008).
- [5] Malik, M., Choudhary, V., and Varma, I. K. J. Macromol. Sci. Rev. Macromol. Chem. Phys. C40 (2000).
- [6] Patel, H. S., and Panchal, K. K. Int. J. of Poly. Mater. 54, 1 (2005).
- [7] Patel, H. S., and Panchal, K. K. Int. J. of Poly. Mater. 54, 795 (2005).
- [8] Patel, H. S., and Panchal, K. K. Poly. and Poly. Compo. 12, 519 (2004).
- [9] Parsania, P. H., Shah, P. P., Patel, K. C., and Patel, R. D. J. Macromol. Sci. Chem. 22, 1485 (1985).
- [10] Patel, T. B. (2007). Ph.D. Thesis, Veer Narmad University, Surat, India, p. 45.
- [11] Patel, R. N., and Patel, S. R. Angew. Markromol.Chem. 96, 85 (1981).
- [12] Vogel, A. I. (1998). Quantitative Organic Analysis, CBS Publishers, New Delhi, p. 677.
- [13] Vogel, A. I. (1998). Quantitative Organic Analysis, CBS Publishers, New Delhi, p. 765.